UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BENJAMIN S. GARCIA,

Petitioner,

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S
REQUEST TO WITHDRAW MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION AND REFERRING
MATTER BACK TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FOR RECOMMENDATION ON MERITS OF
PETITION

Respondent.

[Docs. 55, 67, 70]

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On August 10, 2005, Respondent filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's prior order denying Respondent's motion to dismiss the petition on the ground that it was filed outside the one-year statute of limitations set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). On September 8, 2005, Petitioner filed an opposition to the motion for reconsideration. On September 19, 2005, Respondent filed a reply to Petitioner's opposition to the motion for reconsideration.

On October 7, 2005, Petitioner submitted a prison mail log in support of his request for equitable tolling of the limitations period. On October 21, 2005, Respondent requested the Court stay the motion for reconsideration until counsel could complete his investigation of the belatedly-presented mail log. On November 3, 2005, Petitioner filed a declaration in which he gave his

¹ On August 9, 2005, Respondent submitted an answer to the petition. Petitioner filed a traverse on September 22, 2005.

Case 1:02-cv-05878-AWI-DLB Document 71 Filed 12/06/05 Page 2 of 2

1	explanation/in	terpretation of certain mail log entries that are potentially relevant to his equitable
2	tolling claim.	On November 18, 2005, Respondent submitted a request to withdraw the
3	application for	stay and motion for reconsideration. Counsel for Respondent indicates that he has
4	completed his	investigation of the belatedly-presented mail log and indicates that the interests of
5	justice are no longer best served by reconsideration of Respondent's motion to dismiss.	
6	Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
7	1.	Respondent's request to withdraw the motion for reconsideration is GRANTED;
8		and
9	2.	The matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for a recommendation on the
10		merits of the petition.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: Dec 0m8i78	<u>/s/ Anthony W. Ishii</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	omor o	CIVILD STATES DISTRICT JODGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		